Advancing Data Exchange Innovations in FAIR Data Spaces

Christoph Lange, Fraunhofer FIT, and project team 27.05.2024



FAIR Data Spaces at a glance

- Vision: Development of a common cloud-based data space for industry and science
- Mission: Create and expand synergies between existing technologies and communities
- Financing: Funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research
- Runtime: May 2021 December 2024

AIR Data Spaces

• Participation: 16 participating organizations



Project goals

FAIR* Data Spaces aims to build a shared cloud-based data space for economy and science by linking Gaia-X and NFDI

- Identify and leverage synergies of cooperation between the two initiatives
- Interweaving the content of the initiatives by clarifying legal and ethical issues and providing technical foundations
- Promoting a sovereign exchange of data between industry and science both nationally and in the EU in concrete applications and fields of work

*Guidelines findable, accessible, interoperable, reusable





Target communities

Gaia-X

Economy

- EU-initiated project, brings together stakeholders from industry, science and administration
- The goal is to create an open, transparent and secure federated data infrastructure
- Gaia-X architecture consists of a variety of individual platforms that follow a common standard

NFDI

Science

- purpose of the association is to promote science and research through a National Research Data Infrastructure, which establishes and further develops an overarching research data management in Germany and increases the efficiency of the entire German science and research system
- Making research data FAIR

In addition, linkage with EU data spaces and connection to EOSC





Overview task areas







Objectives of the Open Call

- Have further demonstrators developed, beyond our three own ones (see below)
- Either extensions/additions to our demonstrators, or independent innovation
- Based on the same principles, standards and technology as FAIR Data Spaces
- Round 1 (call closed; June to November 2024): up to 3 contracts @ 60 k€
- Round 2: starting as soon as possible, ending in December 2024
 - same overall budget (180 k€)
 - additional requirement: bridging operational initiatives







Open Call Round 1

Proposal evaluation criteria:

| Interoperability with FAIR Data Spaces | 15% |
|---|-----|
| System architecture | 15% |
| Development process | 5% |
| Documentation | 3% |
| Testing | 15% |
| Security | 7% |
| Innovation degree (evaluated by expert review board): Practical demonstration Industry↔research cross-benefit Going beyond state of the art (of FAIR Data Spaces and general) Awareness of ELSA | 40% |





Open Call Round 1

Requirements and Execution:

- Technology: common programming languages; container deployment; documented interfaces (e.g., OpenAPI); W3C semantic metadata (RDF etc.)
- Legal paperwork (not in the focus of this webinar), reference projects, team CVs
- 8-page concept paper:
 - a. summary, architecture, frontend/backend implementation (addressing all evaluation criteria), innovation / added value.
 - b. informative: time schedule, applicant profile, cost calculation
- Deliverable (at end of contract): open source code, documentation, website, video
- Schedule (~6 months):
 - a. continuous implementation using repository and issue tracker
 - b. regular status calls
 - c. joint hackathon
 - d. public presentation



Open Call Round 2

Discussion points for today – not formally implying any conditions that will apply to Round 2

- Who is here, representing what initiatives?
 - a. ideally we'd have "consortia" formed from one company + and research organization (but not necessarily a member of an NFDI consortium or industry association)
 - However, we might also contract a single organization that provides strong evidence of support from "both sides" (e.g., letters of support)
 - It will not be sufficient to merely apply "industry-ready" technology, such as the EDC
 - b. technical foundations on these slides are *representative*, so do build on what you have established in your existing initiatives, but there *must* be a "FAIR" interoperability layer.
 - as an alternative to Gaia-X & IDS specifically, you may refer to <u>Data Spaces Blueprint</u>
 - c. Are other Fraunhofer institutes eligible to be clarified! (Fraunhofer contracting "itself")
- Have you already reached out to "the other side"?
- What do you consider feasible within ~4 months (end of project minus formal/legal process)
- Any further questions you may have?
 - a. First round was coordinated via <u>e-Vergabe</u>, second round most likely as well





Technical Foundations / Architecture (1)

Architecture with a heavy focus on modern cloud-native technologies

 Virtual machines Containers docker kubernetes openstack. Storage • CI/CD GitLab Runners or ceph Object storage (S3)_{[51} flux **GitHub** Actions Powered by the **de** community cloud д





Technical Foundations / Architecture (2)

Gaia-X Architecture and Trust Framework (we are a "Gaia-X Qualified Project")



Source: Gaia-X European Association for Data and Cloud AISBL



Conformity Assessment Bodies Infrastructure Provider

Notaries

Data Provider



Technical Foundations / Architecture (3)

Sovereign Data Exchange via the Dataspace Protocol







FAIR Data Spaces Demonstrators

https://github.com/FAIR-DS4NFDI/FAIR-DSWiki/wiki



FAIR Data Spaces







Demonstrator 4.1: NFDI4Biodiversity

Nikolaus Glombiewski, Bernhard Seeger (Philipps-Universität Marburg)





NFDI4Biodiversity Demonstrator Overview

- Application:
 - Spatio-Temporal Data Analysis
 - Heterogeneous Data Sources
 - Rust, Python, Angular
 - Docker, OpenIdConnect (Keycloak)
- Connection to Research Data Infrastructure:
 - Part of NFDI4Biodiversity Research Data Commons
 - The Visualization, Analysis and Transformation (VAT) System powered by Geo Engine
- Connection to Gaia-X:

AIR Data Spaces

• Service Offering in a Federated Catalogue



Spatio-Temporal Data Analysis

| Species | Coordinates | Date | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------|--|
| Bird | 48.856614, 2.352221 | 10.05.1977 | |
| Cat | 41.8933203 <i>,</i> 12.4829321 | 18.04.1980 | |
| Elephant | 52.517037, 13.38886 | 21.10.2015 | |





Example 1: Vector Data (e.g. manual recordings)



Example 2: Raster Data (e.g. images from satellites)





Geo Engine: Adding Data Sources







Geo Engine: Analysis







NFDI4Biodiversity: Research Data Commons







Connecting Layers with Geo Engine



- Application for Spatio-Temporal Data
- External Data Providers:

FAIR Data Spaces

- Standardized Protocols for Spatio-Temporal Data
- Custom Data Exchange when necessary
- Also in "Mediation Layer" for offering FAIR Datasets





Geo Engine and Gaia-X Federated Catalogue

• Technological Basis:

Gaia-X compliant catalogue developed by Eclipse XFSC (Cross Federation Services Components) using Spring, OpenIdConnect, PostgreSQL, Neo4j

- GeoEngine Self-Description:
 - A Service Offering in JSON-LD format
 - Verifiable Credentials: Set of claims or attributes, digitally signed by a trusted entity

Who? What? Where? Which standard?

- Adding Data from supported dcat:DataService
 - OGC Environmental Data Retrieval (EDR)
 - In principal: Aruna Object Storage





Demonstrator 4.2: Data Quality Assurance and Workflows

Jonathan Hartman, RWTH Aachen University





Goals

- Build off of existing Infrastructure
 - git.rwth-aachen.de
 - Open Telekom Cloud (OTC)
- Provide an example of Automated Analysis / Data QA
 - the demonstrator
- Provide a framework for hosting / sharing Workflows





Infrastructure

GitLab & Runners

FAIR Data Spaces

- Lightweight agents controlled by CI/CD Scripts from Repositories
- Scalable, based on the workload
- Isolated, each runner context is run in its own container
- Customizable, capable of loading a huge variety of containers
- Multiple runners can be assigned to a project / group.

| / | | |
|---------------|-----------------------|---|
| | Computational Cloud | |
| | Runner 1 (waiting) | |
| > | Runner 2 (waiting) | |
| | Runner 3 (waiting) | |
| $\overline{}$ | | / |



- 1. A Repository is triggered by some event (Commits, Merge requests, Scheduled, Hooks)
- 2. An assigned runner picks up the job
- 3. The appropriate Container is loaded
- 4. Any scripting steps can be executed in the created environment







FAIR Data Spaces

Demonstrator

- written in Python
- based on the Frictionless standard & library
- Available as a "pippable" library

Provided as a Docker Container

• Hosted on git.rwth-aachen.de



Maintained by the User:

- Data to be Analyzed
 - Tabular

FAIR Data Spaces

- A GitLab repository
 - CI/CD Script
 - Config file (optional)
 - Access Credentials to the data *(optional)*
 - Data Schemas (optional, can also be stored with the data)











FAIR Data Spaces

| | | Ab | Pear | rson correlations for | data/abalone2 | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Schema Details for schemas/abalone_schema.json | Show/Hide Quick Details | Data Details for data/abalone2.csv View File Report | Show/Hide Dia Detail | Obs Length Height | 0.8 | |
| Last Modified: May 23, 2024 @ 20:23 File Path: schemas/abalone_schema.json | Size in Bytes: 2547 File Source: local | Last Modified: September 19, 2023 @ 08:41 File Path: data/abalone2.csv | Size in Byti File St Shucked | weight | 0.4 | |
| | | Quality / Validation Overview: Clocate Data File Validation Schema File "schemas/abalone_schema.json" assigned to "data/abalone2.csv" | Viscera Shell Is | weight weight Rings _Large Obs | 0.2 0 Shell w | |
| | ● Data Validation ● The cell "nan" in row at position "2" and field "Shell weight" at position "9" does not conform to a constraint constraint "minimum" is "0" ● The cell "None" in row at position "2" and field "No Correlation" at position "12" does not conform to a constraint: constraint "required" is "True" ● The cell "nan" in row at position "3" and field "No Correlation" at position "12" does not conform to a constraint: constraint "required" is "True" ● The cell "nan" in row at position "3" and field "No Correlation" at position "12" does not conform to a constraint: constraint "neum" is "[A', B', C]" ● The cell "nan" in row at position "5" and field "Nhole weight" at position "6" does not conform to a constraint constraint "minimum" is "0" ● The cell "nan" in row at position "5" and field "Shell weight" at position "9" does not conform to a constraint constraint "minimum" is "0" ● Data Quality ▲ Column "No Correlation" is missing > 95% of values ▲ Column "Is_Large" contains only one value | on "" tition on Show/Hide Quick Details | r weight eight r | Field C Correlation Plot for | Correlation r Diameter and Length | |
| | Schema Details for schemas/abalone_schema.json Last Modified: May 23, 2024 @ 20:23 File Path: schemas/abalone_schema.json | Schema Details for schema.json Stow/Hide Quick Details Last Modified: May 23, 2024 @ 2023 Size in Bytes: 2547 File Path: schemas/abalone_schema.json File Source: local | Schema Details for schema.joon SteverRide Cuick Details Latt Modified. May 23, 2024 @ 2023 Size in Rytes: 2547 Rie Path: schemas/abalone_schema.joon Size in Rytes: 2547 Size Schema Details for schema.joon Size in Rytes: 2547 Size Schema Schema.joon Size in Rytes: 2547 Size Schema.joon Size Schema.joon Size Sc | Schema Details for schemas/abalone_schemajon Sounitide Calck Data Lett Modified May 23, 2024 @ 2023 Size in Bytes 2547 Re Petri schemas/abalone_schemajon Size in Bytes 2547 Re Petri schemas/abalone_schemajon Size in Bytes 2547 Schema Details for schemas/abalone_schemajon Size in Bytes 2547 Schema Details for schema Details for schema Construit Constration Tile Size in Bytes 1487 Size in Bytes 1487 Schema Details for schema Constration Constration Tile Size in Bytes 1487 Size in Bytes 1487 Schema Details for schema Constration Constratio | Control Co | Schema Details for schema/abalones/come/insoil Schema Details for schema/abalones/schema/ |



Correlations









Demonstrator 4.3: Cross-Platform FAIR Data Analysis PADME PHT

<u>Yeliz Ucer Yediel</u>, Muhammad Hamza Akhdar (Fraunhofer FIT), <u>Macedo Maia</u>, Toralf Kirsten (University of Leipzig), Mehrshad Jaberansary, Oya Beyan (University of Cologne)





Cross-Platform FAIR Data Analysis PADME PHT

- Idea: "Bring the algorithms to the data" by using Distributed Analytics (DA)
- Benefits:
 - The data remains in the control of the data providers
 - Research can leverage otherwise inaccessible data
 - The results are made more robust by incorporating a variety of datasets.
- Provides ecosystem from the first idea to the analysis results
 - Central Components: Playground, Train Creator, Train Store House, Train Requester,
 - Client Software: PHT Station







PADME in a Nutshell

https://padme-analytics.de/, https://docs.padme-analytics.de/

- Implementation of the PHT/FL concepts by using FAIR standards
- Result of a collaboration between four research institutes



• Based on containerization technologies (<u>www.docker.com</u>), deployed on Kubernetes env.





- Benefits:
 - Operating system agnostic
 - Data source and data structure agnostic
 - Programming-language agnostic







PHT PADME and EDC Integration

Use Case 1: PHT as a Data Provider

- Objective: Enable sharing of analysis results using EDC connector
- EDC Data Transfer Mode : Provider Push
- Process: Researchers can register their analysis results as assets within the CS EDC Connector, making the results discoverable to other partners in the FAIR data space

Use case 2: PHT as a Data Consumer

- Objective: Enable the PHT Station to consume data from other providers within the FAIR Data Space
- EDC Data Transfer Mode : Consumer Pull
- Process: The PHT Station can discover data catalogs of the other participants, negotiate contracts, and initiate data transfer requests. Upon a successful negotiation, the provided credentials are used to provide access to the Train, which can then execute the analysis



EDC Integration into CS - Provider Push Scenario



FAIR Data Spaces









Federated Learning over Multiple PADME PHT Stations

- Federated learning involves training a central model using data distributed across multiple Stations (Client/Provider) and Central Service (Server/Consumer)
- Local models are trained on each PHT Station
- Each local model are sending to Central Service
- The application of a aggregation function over each local model weights determines a federated learning
- The federated model are sending back to each Station and retrained in the next round

FAIR Data Spaces





Model

Scientist

Use case: Liver Tumours Segmentation

- Problem Statement
 - Based on The American Cancer Society's:
 - About 41,630 new liver cancer cases in US were diagnosed in 2023
 - About 29,840 people have died of these cancers
 - Al-based approaches helps to early detect tumours
 - However, the data can be distributed in different sources (e.g., hospitals)
 - Data access depends on distinct rules or regulations from each data provider
- Possible Solution
 - Explore Computed Tomography (CT) scans for image segmentation
 - Federated learning models over data from multiple data providers
- Liver CT Scan Data for Segmentation:
 - The CT Liver dataset consists of 3D NIFTI images or 2D DICOM scans
 - $\circ\,$ Segmentation masks are the labels
 - $\circ\,$ Segmentation models for medical scans:
 - UNET
 - nn-UNET
 - Dense-UNET

AIR Data Spaces





No cancer

With cancer



All project participants







Thank you for your interest!

Contact: Christoph Lange & Zeyd Boukhers, christoph.langebever@fit.fraunhofer.de, zeyd.boukhers@fit.fraunhofer.de use subject "Open Call" **Stay in touch:**

www.nfdi.de/fair-data-spaces

@FAIRDataSpaces #FAIRDataSpaces 